#### Recitation - Week 5

Ashwin Bhola

CDS, NYU

Oct 2<sup>nd</sup> , 2019

- 1. Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ . Suppose that A has an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  with eigenvector  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  associated to  $\lambda$ . Prove that
  - *i.*  $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda + \alpha$  is an eigenvalue of the matrix  $A + \alpha I_{n \times n}$  with corresponding eigenvector v
  - *ii.*  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda^k$  is an eigenvalue of the matrix  $A^k$  with corresponding eigenvector v
- 2. Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$  and  $C \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ . Prove that if B and C are invertible, then rank(A)=rank(BAC). What does this say about rank of any diagonalizable matrix  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ? Prove that  $Tr(X) = \sum_i \lambda_i$  where  $\lambda_i$  are the eigenvalues of X.
- 3. Let  $v_1, ..., v_k$  be the eigenvectors of A corresponding respectively to eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_k$  such that all  $\lambda_i$  are distinct (assume that  $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \cdots > \lambda_k$ ). Prove the eigenvectors  $v_1, ..., v_k$  are linearly independent

- 1. Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ . Suppose that A has an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  with eigenvector  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  associated to  $\lambda$ . Prove that
  - *i.*  $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda + \alpha$  is an eigenvalue of the matrix  $A + \alpha I_{n \times n}$  with corresponding eigenvector v
  - *ii.*  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda^k$  is an eigenvalue of the matrix  $A^k$  with corresponding eigenvector v

Solution:

- i.  $(A + \alpha I)v = Av + \alpha Iv = \lambda v + \alpha v = (\lambda + \alpha)v$
- *ii.*  $A^k v = A.A...A(v)$  (A multiplied k times)
- $\Rightarrow A^k v = A.A \dots A(Av) = A \dots A(\lambda v) = \lambda A^{k-1} v = \lambda A^{k-2} Av = \lambda^2 A^{k-2} v = \dots = \lambda^k v$

2. Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$  and  $C \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ . Prove that if B and C are invertible, then rank(A)=rank(BAC). What does this say about rank of any diagonalizable matrix  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ? Prove that  $Tr(X) = \sum_i \lambda_i$  where  $\lambda_i$  are the eigenvalues of X

Solution:

From HW3, we know that if M is an invertible matrix, then rank(MA)=rank(A) and rank(AM)=A. Since B and C are invertible matrices, we can use these 2 results on BAC

$$\Rightarrow Rank(BAC) = Rank(B(AC)) = rank(AC) = rank(A)$$

Since X is an invertible matrix,

 $X = PDP^{-1}$  $\Rightarrow rank(X) = rank(D)$ 

Also, from HW3, we know that Tr(AB)=Tr(BA)

$$\Rightarrow Tr(X) = Tr(PDP^{-1}) = Tr(P^{-1}PD) = Tr(D) = \sum_{i} \lambda_{i}$$

Ashwin Bhola (CDS, NYU)

3. Let  $v_1, ..., v_k$  be the eigenvectors of A corresponding respectively to eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_k$  such that all  $\lambda_i$  are distinct (assume that  $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \cdots > \lambda_k$ ). Prove the eigenvectors  $v_1, ..., v_k$  are linearly independent Solution:

Let's take matrix  $B = A + |\lambda_k|I$ . We know that the eigenvalues of matrix B are the eigenvalues of A shifted by  $|\lambda_k| \Rightarrow \gamma_i = \lambda_i + |\lambda_k|$  are the eigenvalues of matrix B for  $i \in [1, k]$ . We do this so that all eigenvalues are non-negative. To check that  $v_1, ..., v_k$  are linearly independent,

$$\alpha_1 v_1 + \dots + \alpha_k v_k = 0$$
  

$$\Rightarrow B^p (\alpha_1 v_1 + \dots + \alpha_k v_k) = 0$$
  

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_1 B^p v_1 + \dots + \alpha_k B^p v_k = 0$$
  

$$\Rightarrow \gamma_1^p (\alpha_1 v_1 + \alpha_2 \left(\frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_1}\right)^p v_2 + \dots + \alpha_k \left(\frac{\gamma_k}{\gamma_1}\right)^p v_k) = 0$$
  

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_1 v_1 + \alpha_2 \left(\frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_1}\right)^p v_2 + \dots + \alpha_k \left(\frac{\gamma_k}{\gamma_1}\right)^p v_k = 0$$

$$\frac{\gamma_i}{\gamma_1} < 1 \forall i \in [2, k]$$
  
Taking  $\lim_{p \to \infty} \left( \alpha_1 v_1 + \alpha_2 \left( \frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_1} \right)^p v_2 + \dots + \alpha_k \left( \frac{\gamma_k}{\gamma_1} \right)^p v_k \right) = 0$   
 $\Rightarrow \alpha_1 v_1 = 0$ 

Now since eigenvector cannot be a zero vector,  $\alpha_1 = 0$ 

Now we are left with  $\alpha_2 v_2 + \cdots + \alpha_k v_k = 0$ . We can multiply this with  $B^p$  and do the same exact procedure as above but this time, we divide the equation with  $\gamma_2^p$  instead of  $\gamma_1^p$ . This results in  $\alpha_2 = 0$ . Keep on doing this and at the end you'll be left with  $\alpha_k v_k = 0$  which directly gives  $\alpha_k = 0$ . Thus, now you have  $\alpha_1 v_1 + \cdots + \alpha_k v_k = 0$ possible only if  $\alpha_1 = \cdots = \alpha_k = 0$ 

#### **Gram-Schmidt**

- 1. Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  have linearly independent columns. Show that there is a matrix  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  and  $R \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  such that A=QR, Q has orthonormal columns and R is upper triangular
- 2. Suppose  $D \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is diagonal. Give a vector  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with ||v|| = 1 such that ||Dv|| is maximized

#### **Gram-Schmidt**

Let A ∈ ℝ<sup>m×n</sup> have linearly independent columns. Show that there is a matrix Q ∈ ℝ<sup>m×n</sup> and R ∈ ℝ<sup>n×n</sup> such that A=QR, Q has orthonormal columns and R is upper triangular
 Solution:

Let the columns of A be  $a_1, ..., a_n$ . We pass them through Gram-Schmidt and get as output a set of orthonormal vectors  $u_1, ..., u_n$ . Because we used Gram-Schmidt we know that  $span(a_1, ..., a_i) = span(u_1, ..., u_i) \Rightarrow a_i \in span(u_1, ..., u_i) \forall i \in [1, n]$ 

$$\Rightarrow a_i = \lambda_{1i}u_1 + \dots + \lambda_{ii}u_i$$

Now since A is a matrix of  $a_1, ..., a_n$ , I can construct the matrix Q such that the columns of Q are  $u_1, ..., u_n$ . The matrix R is then an upper triangular matrix constructed using the coefficients  $\lambda_{ij}$ 

#### Gram-Schmidt

2. Suppose  $D \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is diagonal. Give a vector  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with ||v|| = 1 such that ||Dv|| is maximized Solution:

Let the diagonal of D consist of  $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n$  and  $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| |Dv| \right| &= \sqrt{d_1^2 v_1^2 + \dots + d_n^2 v_n^2} = \sqrt{d_i^2 \left[ \left( \frac{d_1}{d_i} \right)^2 v_1^2 + \dots + \left( \frac{d_n}{d_i} \right)^2 v_n^2 \right]} \text{ where } d_i^2 &= \max(d_1^2, \dots, d_n^2) \end{aligned}$$
  
$$\Rightarrow \left| |Dv| \right| &= \sqrt{d_i^2 \left[ \left( \frac{d_1}{d_i} \right)^2 v_1^2 + \dots + \left( \frac{d_n}{d_i} \right)^2 v_n^2 \right]} = |d_i| \sqrt{\left( \frac{d_1}{d_i} \right)^2 v_1^2 + \dots + \left( \frac{d_n}{d_i} \right)^2 v_n^2} \le |d_i| \sqrt{v_1^2 + \dots + v_n^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \left| |Dv| \right| = \sqrt{d_i^2 \left[ \left( \frac{d_1}{d_i} \right)^2 v_1^2 + \ldots + \left( \frac{d_n}{d_i} \right)^2 v_n^2 \right]} = |d_i| \sqrt{\left( \frac{d_1}{d_i} \right)^2 v_1^2 + \ldots + \left( \frac{d_n}{d_i} \right)^2 v_n^2} \le |d_i| \sqrt{v_1^2 + \cdots + v_n^2}$$
  
Since  $||v|| = 1$ ,

 $||Dv|| \le |d_i|$ 

Now the question is if this maximum is achievable

I can take v as vector of all zeros but 1 at the  $i^{th}$  position to see that  $||Dv|| = |d_i|$ , proving that this maxima is indeed possible to get to

Ashwin Bhola (CDS, NYU)